

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Tradenark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,938	0	2/27/2002	George Worthley	GEM-P-02-001 8384	
7590 08/11/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATENTS+TMS				LEWIS, KIM M	
A Professional	Corpora	ition			
1914 North Milwaukee Avenue				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60647				3743	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	10/083,938	WORTHLEY, GEORGE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kim M. Lewis	3743					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE i - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ap	ril 0426.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-26 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		, ,					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau		Ü					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not receive	d.					
A44 1 ·								
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Imtam :: 0	(DTO 442)					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☑ Other: <u>Detailed Actio</u>	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 4/26/04 has been received and made of record in the application file wrapper. As requested claims 1, 14 and 19 have been amended and claims 23-27 have been added.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 4/26/04. These drawings are approved by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 27 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. More specifically, claim 27 depends from claim 28, which is not present in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3743

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As regards claim 27, the metes and bounds cannot be determined since the claim depends on a claim not present in the application. Accordingly, prior art comparisons can not be made with regard to claim 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 465 023 ("Riedel") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,592,888 ("Jensen et al.")

As regards claim 23, Reidel discloses a dressing comprising: a semi-permeable film (13) having a top side, a bottom side opposite to the top side, a first edge and a second edge opposite to the first edge; an adhesive layer (14) covering the bottom side of the semi-permeable film; a hydrocolloid adhesive layer (12) attached to the adhesive layer wherein the hydrocolloid adhesive layer has a perimeter; and a window (opening)

Application/Control Number: 10/083,938

Art Unit: 3743

in the hydrocolloid adhesive layer wherein the window is within the perimeter of the

hydrocolloid silver adhesive layer (col.2, line 46-col. 6).

Reidel fails to teach a the hydrocolloid adhesive layer is a hydrocolloid silver adhesive layer and that the adhesive layer extends outward with respect to the window beyond the perimeter of the hydrocolloid adhesive layer. However, Jensen et al. teach it is conventional in the art to provide wound dressings with adhesive material comprising both hydrocolloid material and silver because hydrocolloids are highly absorbent and silver is an anti-microbial agent that kills bacteria (col. 3, line 26-col. 4, line 41).

In view of Jensen et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Riedel by substituting the adhesive layer for a hydrocolloid adhesive because hydrocolloids are highly absorbent and silver is an anti-microbial agent that kills bacteria. Such a substitution requires only routine skill in the art.

As to the extension of the adhesive layer, the examiner contends that it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Riedel by extending the cover layer (13) such that it can adhere to the skin in order to ensure that the dressing remains in place on the user.

As regards claims 24, note col. 2, lines 46-55, which discloses the permeability of the film.

As regard claims 25, it is commonly known and inherent that silver is an antimicrobial agent.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,938

Art Unit: 3743

As regard clams 26, Riedel fails to teach a release layer. It would also have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to prove the dressing of Riedel with a release layer in order to protect the dressing from contaminants prior to use.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/083,938

Art Unit: 3743

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is 703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703.308.0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rim M. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

kml August 9, 204